

Corrigendum

S. D. FISHER AND M. I. STESSIN

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208

Volume 67, Number 2 (1991), in the article “The n -Width of the Unit Ball of H^q ,” by S. D. Fisher and M. I. Stessin, pages 347–356: Several people have kindly pointed out to us that the proof of the upper bound in our article for the linear n -width of the unit ball of H^q in L^p is incorrect. This corrigendum provides a correct proof in two important cases: when $p \leq q$ or when $q = 2$ (and p is any number.) Thus, the equalities stated are proved for the case $p \leq q$ and for the case when $p > q = 2$ and the hyperbolic radius r_0 of E satisfies $0 < r_0 < (1 - \cos(\pi/p))/\sin(\pi/p) = \tan(\pi/2p)$.

First suppose that $p \leq q$. Let B be a Blaschke product of degree r , $r \leq n$, with zeros at $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$, and let g be the (unique) normalized solution of (1) for the measure $|B|^p d\mu$. Define $T: H^q \rightarrow L^p$ by

$$Tf(z) = B(z)g(z) \int [f(e^{i\theta})/B(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})] P(z; e^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$

where $P(z; e^{i\theta})$ is the Poisson kernel for z . It is easy to verify that $Tf = f$ when f vanishes at the zeros of B . In general, let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r be bounded analytic functions on Δ such that $\phi_i(z_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then $f = f - \sum_1^r f(z_j)\phi_j + \sum_1^r f(z_j)\phi_j = g + \sum_1^r f(z_j)\phi_j$, where g vanishes at z_1, \dots, z_r . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Tf &= Tg + T\left(\sum_1^r f(z_j)\phi_j\right) = f - \sum_1^r f(z_j)\phi_j + \sum_1^r f(z_j)T\phi_j \\ &= f - \sum_1^r f(z_j)(\phi_j - T\phi_j), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $T = I - P$, where P is linear of rank $r \leq n$. Thus, the linear n -width of the unit ball of H^q in L^p is certainly bounded above by the norm of T . However, for f in the unit ball of H^q , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_E \left| B(z) g(z) \int_0^{2\pi} [f(e^{i\theta})/B(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})] P(z; e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right|^p d\mu(z) \\
& \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})/g(e^{i\theta})|^p \int_E |B(z)g(z)|^p P(z; e^{i\theta}) d\mu(z) d\theta \\
& = \delta^p \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})/g(e^{i\theta})|^p |g(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \\
& = \delta^p \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^p |g(e^{i\theta})|^{q-p} d\theta \\
& \leq \delta^p = \sup \left\{ \int_E |B(z)h(z)|^p d\mu(z) : h \in A_q \right\} \\
& = \sup \left\{ (\|f\|_p)^p : f \in A_q \text{ and } f(z_k) = 0, k = 1, \dots, r \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because B is an arbitrary Blaschke product of degree n or less, this establishes that the linear n -width is no more than

$$\inf \left[\sup \{ \|f\|_p : f \in A_q \text{ and } f(z_k) = 0, k = 1, \dots, r \} : z_1, \dots, z_r \in \Delta, r \leq n \right].$$

However, this number is already known to be the lower bound for both the Gelfand and the Kolmogorov n -widths, so that equality is established.

The case $q = 2$ is easier. Let z_1, \dots, z_n be (distinct) points of Δ , let $f \in A_2$, and let T be the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto the subspace of H^2 functions which vanish at the zeros of B . Then $I - T$ has rank n and T has operator norm one so that $Tf = Bh$, where h has H^2 norm at most one. Therefore, $\|Tf\|_p = \|Bh\|_p \leq \sup \{ \|f\|_p : f \in A_2 \text{ and } f(z_k) = 0, k = 1, \dots, n \}$. Since B is an arbitrary Blaschke product, this shows that the linear n -width is no more than

$$\inf \left[\sup \{ \|f\|_p : f \in A_2 \text{ and } f(z_k) = 0, k = 1, \dots, r \} : z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Delta \right]$$

and we are done.